WSGL Weingarten, Schurgin, Gagnebin & Lebovici LLP

Intellectual Property Law Patents • Trademarks • Copyrights

Ten Post Office Square Boston, Massachusetts 02109 Tel. 617.542.2290 • Fax. 617. 451.0313 www.wsglip.com

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER NOV 3 0 2004

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

DATE:

November 30, 2004

TO: Examiner Timothy D. Collins

TC Art Unit: 3643

FROM:

Beverly E. Hjorth

No. of pages transmitted

Fax No.: (703) 872 9306

(including this page): 7

Our File:

KAZAK-014XX

Time:

Sent by: Debbi

Your Ref:

Application No. 10/698,580

Filed Date: October 31, 2003

Confirmation No.: 1649

A confirmation copy of this transmission will not be mailed unless the following is checked: [] **MESSAGE**

PLEASE DELIVER DIRECTLY TO: EXAMINER TIMOTHY D. COLLINS, Tel. (703) 306 9160 TC ART UNIT NO: 3643

FOR ENTRY

Enclosed for filing please find a: Transmittal Sheet and Response to Election Requirement with Traverse

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to Charge Deposit Account No. 23-0804 for any additional filing fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment.

Attorney for Applicant: Beventy E. Hjorth
Registration No. 32,033

309282

THIS MESSAGE MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL OR PRIVILEGED INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSON(S) IDENTIFIED ABOVE. IF IT HAS BEEN RECEIVED AT ANY OTHER PLACE OR HAS NOT BEEN CLEARLY RECEIVED, PLEASE CALL THE ABOVE IDENTIFIED SENDING PARTY COLLECT FOR INSTRUCTIONS. DO NOT SHOW OR DISTRIBUTE THIS MESSAGE TO ANYONE OTHER THAN THE INTENDED RECIPIENT(S). THANK YOU.

Rev 06/04

WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP

Ten Post Office Square Boston, Massachusetts 02109 Telephone: (617) 542-2290 Telecopier: (617) 451-0313

Date: November 30, 2004

Vja <u>Facsimile</u>

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attorney

Docket No.: KAZAK-014XX

Sir:

In re application of: JEROME P. FANUCCI

Entitled: EXTENDABLE JOINED WING SYSTEM FOR A FLUID-BORN BODY

Transmitted herewith is a response in the above-identified application. The following checked items are applicable:

- This is a Request for Continued Examination under §1.114; authorization is provided herewith to charge Deposit Account No. 23-0804 for the cost of same (\$______) per §1.17(e).

 [] Enter the unentered amendment previously filed on ______ per §1.116.
- [X] A Petition for Extension of Time for a 1 month is hereby made under §1.136(a); authorization is provided herewith to charge Deposit Account No. 23-0804 for the cost of same (\$55.00) per §1.17.
- [X] In the event a Petition for Extension of Time is required by this paper and not otherwise provided, such Petition is hereby made and authorization is provided herewith to charge Deposit Account No. 23-0804 for the cost of such extension.
- [] Other:

CLAIMS AFTER AMENDMENT:	MINUS PRIOR PAID CLAIMS:	EQUALS PRESENT EXTRA CLAIMS:	RATE:	ADDITIONAL FEE:
Independent	5 - 5	= 0	x \$86.00 =	0
Total	60 - 60	= 0	x \$18.00 =	0
[] Multiple Dependent Claims (1st presentation) + \$290.00 =				0
President Appropriate Appropri				0
Small Entity filing, divide by 2. Small Entity status must be asserted.				0.
				0

- [X] No additional fee. [] The fee has been calculated above; authorization is provided herewith to charge Deposit Account No. 23-0804 (\$______) for the cost of same.
- [X] The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any additional filing fees under §1.16 associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-0804.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent via facsimile to Examiner Timothy D. Collins, TC Art Unit 3643, Fax No. (703) 872 9306, on April 30, Z

SUBMIT IN TRIPLICATE BEH/dkh/314186 Attorney of Record: Beverly E. Hjorth

Registration No.: 32,033

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER NOV 3 0 2004

PATENT

Rev 06/04

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application : Jerome P. Fanucci

Application No. : 10/698,580

: October 31, 2003

Filed Confirmation No.

: 1649

: EXTENDABLE JOINED WING SYSTEM FOR A FLUID-

BORN BODY

Examiner

: Timothy D. Collins

Attorney's Docket : KAZAK-014XX

TC Art Unit: 3643

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent via facsimile to Examiner Timothy D. Collins, TC Art Unit 3643, Fax No. (703) 872-9306, on Narmber 30, 2009

Beverly E/Hjorth

Registration No. 32,033 Attorney for Applicant

RESPONSE TO ELECTION REQUIREMENT WITH TRAVERSE

Via Facsimile Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Election of Species Requirement dated October 12, 2004, Applicant makes the following election, with traverse.

Applicant elects: c,i,2,a,ii,1,b,iii,a

WEINGARTEN, SCHOLGIN. GACHERIN & LEBOVICI ILP TEL. (617) 542-2290

Claims 1-12, 15, 16, 18-26, 28-33, 37, 39, and 41-44 are readable thereon.

At least claims 1-11 are generic to all species.

As grounds for traversal, Applicant notes the following:

choice (referring to fifth Examiner's the Regarding Applicant notes that the and 27), approximately claims 24 Examiner's description of "wings are made of a composite with core of fiber" is not correct. Rather, as recited in claim 24, the correct recitation is "a core within the portion of the fibers." Accordingly, species ii is selected with this understanding.

Regarding the Examiner's sixth choice (approximately claims 31 and 32), Applicant cannot make an election, because the Examiner has not explained the difference between the two species. With this understanding and to comply with 35 U.S.C. § 121, Applicant has selected species 1.

Regarding the Examiner's seventh and eighth choices (approximately claims 33-36), Applicant notes that the location of the center of pressure of the wings can vary during deployment. See, for example, Applicant's specification at page 3, lines 11-18, and page 12, lines 15-33. Thus, these choices are not separate species.

Applicant further notes the following: The MPEP states at § 806.04(b) (emphasis added):

> Species, while usually independent, may be related under the particular disclosure. Where inventions as disclosed

and claimed are both (A) species under a claimed genus and (B) related, then the question of restriction must be determined by both the practice applicable to election of species and the practice applicable to other types of restrictions such as those covered in MPEP § 806.05 - § 806.05(i). If restriction is improper under either practice, it should not be required.

Regarding related inventions, MPEP \$ 808.02 states:

Where the related inventions as claimed are shown to be distinct under the criteria of MPEP § 806.05(c) - § 806.05(i), the examiner, in order to establish reasons for insisting upon restriction, must show by appropriate explanation one of the following:

- (A) Separate classification thereof: This shows that each distinct subject has attained recognition in the art as a separate subject for inventive effort, and also a separate field of search. Patents need not be cited to show separate classification.
- A separate status in the art when they are (B) classifiable together: Even though they are classified together, each subject can be shown to have formed a when inventive effort separate subject for of indicates recognition separate explanation a inventive effort by inventors. Separate status in the art may be shown by citing patents which are evidence of such separate status, and also of a separate field of search.
- (C) A different field of search: Where it is necessary to search for one of the distinct subjects in places where no pertinent art to the other subject exists, a different field of search is shown, even though the two are classified together. The indicated different field of search must in fact be pertinent to the type of subject matter covered by the claims. Patents need not be cited to show different fields of search.

Where, however, the classification is the same and the field of search is the same and there is no clear indication of separate future classification and field

of search, no reasons exist for dividing among related inventions.

Regarding the Examiners' first, second, third, fourth, and ninth species election choices (discussed more particularly below), the species presented by the Examiner are related, because they are subcombinations that can be used together. MPEP § 806.05(d). Thus, restriction of a type covered in MPEP § 806.05 - § 806.05(i) must also be determined. However, the Examiner has not made a determination of restriction under any of these types, including under MPEP § 806.05(d). Furthermore, the Examiner has not established reasons for insisting upon restriction, such as separate classification, separate status in the art, or a different field of search.

More particularly:

- Regarding the Examiner's first choice (approximately from claim 11), one embodiment can, for example, have an actuator element on and within both the forward wing and the aft wing.
- Regarding the Examiner's second choice (approximately from claims, 12-13), one embodiment can, for example, have more than one actuator element of different types, such as a piezoelectric element and a shape memory alloy.
- Regarding the Examiner's third choice (approximately from claims 14 and 18), one embodiment can, for example, have an actuator element on both a pressure face and on a trailing edge.
- Regarding the Examiner's fourth choice (approximately claims 16 and 17), one embodiment can, for example, have an actuator element distributed along a wing and another actuator element at a discrete location.

 Regarding the Examiner's ninth choice (approximately from claims 37-40, one embodiment can, for example, have both the forward wings and the aft wings coupled for simultaneous movement.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the election of species requirement is respectfully requested.

Examination of the merits is respectfully requested. The Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned attorney to discuss any matter that would expedite prosecution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

JEROME P. FANUCCI

By: Beverly B Hjorth

Registration No. 32,033 Attorney for Applicant(s)

WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN,
GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP
Ten Post Office Square
Boston, MA 02109

Telephone: (617) 542-2290 Telecopier: (617) 451-0313

BEH/dkh/313640